Optical Coherence Tomography Versus Intravascular Ultrasound to Evaluate Coronary Artery Disease and Percutaneous Coronary Intervention
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Objectives We compared intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and 2 different generations of optical coherence tomography (OCT)—time-domain OCT (TD-OCT) and frequency-domain OCT (FD-OCT)—for the assessment of coronary disease and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) using stents.

Background OCT is a promising light-based intravascular imaging modality with higher resolution than IVUS. However, the paucity of data on OCT image quantification has limited its application in clinical practice.

Methods A total of 227 matched OCT and IVUS pull backs were studied. One hundred FD-OCT and IVUS pull backs in nonstented (n = 56) and stented (n = 44) vessels were compared. Additionally, 127 matched TD-OCT and IVUS images were compared in stented vessels.

Results FD-OCT depicted more severe native coronary disease than IVUS; minimal lumen area (MLA) was $2.33 \pm 1.56 \, \text{mm}^2$ versus $3.32 \pm 1.92 \, \text{mm}^2$, respectively ($p < 0.001$). Reference vessel dimensions were equivalent between FD-OCT and IVUS in both native and stented coronaries, but TD-OCT detected smaller reference lumen size compared with IVUS. Immediately post-PCI, in-stent MLAs were similar between FD-OCT and IVUS, but at follow-up, both FD-OCT and TD-OCT detected smaller MLAs than did IVUS, likely due to better detection of neointimal hyperplasia (NIH). Post-PCI malapposition and tissue prolapse were more frequently identified by FD-OCT.

Conclusions FD-OCT generates similar reference lumen dimensions but higher degrees of disease severity and NIH, as well as better detection of malapposition and tissue prolapse compared with IVUS. First-generation TD-OCT was associated with smaller reference vessel dimensions compared with IVUS. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2013;6:228–36) © 2013 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation
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Intravascular imaging has helped shape our understanding of coronary artery disease and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (1–5). In particular, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) contributed significantly to modern PCI techniques (6–8). The recent introduction of optical coherence tomography (OCT) into the catheterization laboratory was received with great expectation, as this light-based imaging modality offers 10 times higher resolution and 40 times faster imaging acquisition compared with IVUS. However, the first-generation time-domain OCT (TD-OCT) (M2CV OCT Imaging System, LightLab Imaging, Westford, Massachusetts) was plagued with the requirement for proximal vessel occlusion to create a blood-free imaging environment. Besides the technical challenges with image acquisition, preliminary studies suggested an underestimation of lumen dimensions by TD-OCT as compared with IVUS (9,10).

More recently, frequency-domain OCT (FD-OCT) (C7XR Imaging System, LightLab Imaging) was developed to overcome the inherent technical limitations of TD-OCT while preserving and potentially improving image quality (11). FD-OCT and IVUS measurements showed good agreement in phantom models (12), but in vivo comparative studies between these commercially available technologies are lacking. Therefore, the present study was designed to provide comparative data between IVUS versus both generations of OCT technologies for the assessment of human coronary artery disease and PCI.

**Methods**

The study population comprises patients enrolled in different clinical trials that were analyzed in the Cardiovascular Imaging Core Laboratory, University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio. Matched OCT and IVUS images of the native coronary artery immediately post-procedure and 6 to 12 months after stenting were included. The indication for using imaging was carried out with motorized pull back at 1 mm/s to include the target lesion and at least 5 mm proximal and distal as references. All IVUS data were digitally stored for offline analysis.

**OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY.** OCT imaging was performed after injection of nitroglycerin (100 to 200 µg). Two different systems were used: TD-OCT (M2CV Imaging System, LightLab Imaging) and FD-OCT (C7XR Imaging System, LightLab Imaging). TD-OCT was performed by the occlusive technique for optimization of blood clearance as described previously (13). FD-OCT was performed with a 2.7-F OCT catheter (Dragonfly imaging catheter, LightLab Imaging), and blood clearance was achieved by noninjected 3 to 5 ml/s for a total volume of 10 to 20 ml/pull back. Images were acquired with an automated pull back at a rate of 1 mm/s for TD-OCT and 20 mm/s for FD-OCT. Images were digitally stored and submitted for offline evaluation at the core laboratory.

**Imaging acquisition.** **INTRAVASCULAR ULTRASOUND.** IVUS imaging was performed after intracoronary injection of nitroglycerin (100 to 200 µg) using a 40-MHz Atlantis SR Pro catheter (Boston Scientific, Fremont, California). IVUS imaging was carried out with motorized pull back at 1 mm/s to include the target lesion and at least 5 mm proximal and distal as references. All IVUS data were digitally stored for offline analysis.

**CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE ASSESSMENT.** In nonstented arteries, the region of interest was selected based on anatomic landmarks (i.e., side branches, calcification) helped by angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position. The diseased segment (lesion location) was selected based on angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position. The diseased segment (lesion location) was selected based on angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position. The diseased segment (lesion location) was selected based on angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position. The diseased segment (lesion location) was selected based on angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position. The diseased segment (lesion location) was selected based on angiographic images containing the IVUS and OCT catheter position.

**Imaging analysis.** All cross-sectional images (frames) were initially screened for quality assessment and excluded from analysis if any portion of the image was out of the screen or the image had poor quality caused by artifacts. In the case of OCT, frames were also excluded if inadequate blood clearance was identified, as defined by the inability to visualize lumen contour in more than 45° (1 quadrant) of the cross section. IVUS imaging was performed after injection of nitroglycerin (100 to 200 µg). Two different systems were used: TD-OCT (M2CV Imaging System, LightLab Imaging) and FD-OCT (C7XR Imaging System, LightLab Imaging). TD-OCT was performed by the occlusive technique for optimization of blood clearance as described previously (13). FD-OCT was performed with a 2.7-F OCT catheter (Dragonfly imaging catheter, LightLab Imaging), and blood clearance was achieved by noninjected 3 to 5 ml/s for a total volume of 10 to 20 ml/pull back. Images were acquired with an automated pull back at a rate of 1 mm/s for TD-OCT and 20 mm/s for FD-OCT. Images were digitally stored and submitted for offline evaluation at the core laboratory.

**Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- **FD-OCT** = frequency-domain optical coherence tomography
- **IVUS** = intravascular ultrasound
- **MLA** = minimal lumen area
- **NIH** = neointimal hyperplasia
- **OCT** = optical coherence tomography
- **PCI** = percutaneous coronary intervention
- **TD-OCT** = time-domain optical coherence tomography

**Pre-stent evaluation.** Two different systems were used: TD-OCT (M2CV Imaging System, LightLab Imaging) and FD-OCT (C7XR Imaging System, LightLab Imaging). TD-OCT was performed by the occlusive technique for optimization of blood clearance as described previously (13). FD-OCT was performed with a 2.7-F OCT catheter (Dragonfly imaging catheter, LightLab Imaging), and blood clearance was achieved by noninjected 3 to 5 ml/s for a total volume of 10 to 20 ml/pull back. Images were acquired with an automated pull back at a rate of 1 mm/s for TD-OCT and 20 mm/s for FD-OCT. Images were digitally stored and submitted for offline evaluation at the core laboratory.

**Imaging analysis.** All cross-sectional images (frames) were initially screened for quality assessment and excluded from analysis if any portion of the image was out of the screen or the image had poor quality caused by artifacts. In the case of OCT, frames were also excluded if inadequate blood clearance was identified, as defined by the inability to visualize lumen contour in more than 45° (1 quadrant) of the cross section. IVUS imaging was performed after injection of nitroglycerin (100 to 200 µg). Two different systems were used: TD-OCT (M2CV Imaging System, LightLab Imaging) and FD-OCT (C7XR Imaging System, LightLab Imaging). TD-OCT was performed by the occlusive technique for optimization of blood clearance as described previously (13). FD-OCT was performed with a 2.7-F OCT catheter (Dragonfly imaging catheter, LightLab Imaging), and blood clearance was achieved by noninjected 3 to 5 ml/s for a total volume of 10 to 20 ml/pull back. Images were acquired with an automated pull back at a rate of 1 mm/s for TD-OCT and 20 mm/s for FD-OCT. Images were digitally stored and submitted for offline evaluation at the core laboratory.

**Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- **FD-OCT** = frequency-domain optical coherence tomography
- **IVUS** = intravascular ultrasound
- **MLA** = minimal lumen area
- **NIH** = neointimal hyperplasia
- **OCT** = optical coherence tomography
- **PCI** = percutaneous coronary intervention
- **TD-OCT** = time-domain optical coherence tomography
a consensus on image matching and quality. Percent area and diameter stenosis were calculated as follows: reference lumen area — minimal lumen area (MLA)/reference lumen area × 100 and reference lumen diameter — MLA/reference lumen diameter × 100, respectively.

**POST-PCI AND FOLLOW-UP ASSESSMENTS.** Matched stented segments were defined at post-procedure and follow-up FD-OCT and IVUS images, whereas matched stented segments were defined in follow-up TD-OCT and IVUS images utilizing stent edges as landmarks. Lumen and stent cross-sectional areas were traced at 1-mm intervals in both OCT systems and IVUS images. The cross-sectional areas and associated volumes were determined for the stent, lumen, and neointimal area (follow-up images only). Malapposition was qualitatively defined by IVUS as regions containing blood speckle behind the stent. OCT-derived malapposition values were obtained by 360° chords, distributed between the lumen and stent contours as previously described (14). The data were imported to a proprietary database that automatically defines the threshold for malapposition according to the different stent types and accounting for individual strut thickness (15). Tissue protrusion was defined as occurring between stent struts, which directly correlates with the underlying plaque, without abrupt transition or different optical or ultrasound properties (16). Luminal areas and diameters were also obtained at the reference segment, in which cross sections were selected every 1 mm within the 5-mm distal and proximal stent edges. The reproducibility of the applied methodology has been previously reported (17).

**Statistics.** Data analysis was conducted using SAS Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages, and continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD. Comparisons between 3 groups were made using 1-way analysis of variance, with Tukey’s post hoc test for the 3 individual-group differences. Differences between IVUS and each OCT technology were evaluated by paired t test or a generalized estimating equations model with an exchangeable correlation structure to account for multiple values within the same subject and further examined by Bland-Altman plots. Comparison results were further confirmed with nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank analysis. The agreement to identify malapposition cases with IVUS versus the OCT method was quantified using Kappa statistics. The correlation between 2 continuous variables was analyzed by simple linear regression with a 95% confidence interval or mixed effects model for repeated measurement, and the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of malapposition quantitative measurements between OCT and IVUS.

**Results**

Two hundred twenty-seven IVUS pull backs were matched with 100 FD-OCT (56 native coronary arteries, 26 post-
Measurements of in-stent lumen dimensions were similar between FD-OCT and IVUS (Table 3). However, TD-OCT detected smaller reference lumen dimensions compared with IVUS (Online Table 1).

STENTED SEGMENT. Measurements of the stent were similar, but mean and MLA were smaller by FD-OCT compared with IVUS. More neointimal hyperplasia (NIH) was detected by FD-OCT (Fig. 2, Table 3). Similar results were observed when comparing TD-OCT and IVUS (Online Table 1). IVUS underestimation as compared with that of FD-OCT was more significant at smaller levels of NIH (Online Fig. 1). Overall, combining both OCT systems, late malapposition was demonstrated in 33.1% (48 of 145) of the cases versus 9.7% (14 of 145) by IVUS (Kappa: 0.057 [p = 1.000]) at follow-up. Correlations between measurements obtained by both OCT systems and IVUS are represented in Online Figure 2.

**Discussion**

This report provides the first large comparative data between the 2 clinically available OCT technologies versus matched IVUS images in human coronary arteries. The results showed equivalence between FD-OCT imaging and IVUS to determine coronary reference lumen dimensions, an important metric used in routine PCI. FD-OCT detected more severe disease, smaller MLA, and higher percent stenosis than IVUS. The present data also expand upon prior preliminary observations (10) and confirm, in a large sample, the risk of underestimating reference vessel dimensions when using first-generation TD-OCT with occlusive technique (Online Table 1). The study also demonstrates the higher sensitivity of both OCT systems compared with IVUS to detect stent malapposition, NIH, and intrastent tissue protrusion (Figs. 3 and 4, Online Fig. 3).

**Table 1. Demographics and Clinical Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age, yrs</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Insulin dependent</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Hypercholesterolemia</th>
<th>Chronic renal failure</th>
<th>Current smoker</th>
<th>Previous MI</th>
<th>Peripheral artery disease</th>
<th>Stable angina</th>
<th>Acute coronary syndrome</th>
<th>ST-segment elevation MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>65.1 ± 9.3</td>
<td>145.775</td>
<td>58.310</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>97.519</td>
<td>101(54.0)</td>
<td>11(5.9)</td>
<td>77(41.2)</td>
<td>31(16.6)</td>
<td>13(7.0)</td>
<td>89(47.6)</td>
<td>37(19.8)</td>
<td>45(24.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD or n (%). MI = myocardial infarction.

**Table 2. FD-OCT Versus IVUS Assessment of Native Coronary Artery Disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FD-OCT (n = 56)</th>
<th>IVUS (n = 56)</th>
<th>Difference (OCT—IVUS) (n = 56)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference lumen area, mm²</td>
<td>6.45 ± 2.48</td>
<td>6.26 ± 2.33</td>
<td>−0.19 ± 1.16</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference lumen diameter, mm</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.50</td>
<td>−0.05 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal lumen area, mm²</td>
<td>3.32 ± 1.92</td>
<td>2.33 ± 1.56</td>
<td>−0.99 ± 0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal lumen diameter, mm</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.48</td>
<td>−0.37 ± 0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area stenosis, %</td>
<td>58.47 ± 11.87</td>
<td>71.97 ± 11.22</td>
<td>13.51 ± 10.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter stenosis, %</td>
<td>35.24 ± 9.65</td>
<td>47.98 ± 10.59</td>
<td>12.74 ± 9.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. *Test for comparison of FD-OCT versus IVUS was by paired t test.

FD-OCT = frequency-domain optical coherence tomography; IVUS = intravascular ultrasound.
Figure 2. Bland-Altman Plots of OCT and IVUS Measurements in Stented Vessels

Comparison of stented region measurements evaluated by TD-OCT and FD-OCT versus IVUS. Bland-Altman plots for reference lumen area (A and B), in-stent lumen area (C and D), stent area (E and F), and neointimal hyperplasia (NIH) (G and H) are represented. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.
Figure 3. Stent Strut Malapposition Assessments by IVUS and OCT

A longitudinal view of OCT in a stented segment is represented in A, which shows malapposed stent struts in the proximal part (white solid arrows). Cross-sections I and II correspond to the same regions in the OCT and IVUS evaluations, respectively, coregistered using side branches as landmarks. Malapposed stent struts are clearly revealed by OCT, whereas malapposition is not suspected by IVUS. OCT enables strut-level assessment of malapposition (III), as well as the measurement of the area of malapposition (IV, rendered in red). Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

Table 3. FD-OCT Versus IVUS Assessment of Stented Vessels Post PCI and at Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVUS (n = 26)</td>
<td>FD-OCT (n = 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reference lumen area, mm²*</td>
<td>7.83 ± 2.95</td>
<td>7.52 ± 2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reference lumen diameter, mm*</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.55</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-stent lumen area, mm²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.52 ± 1.54</td>
<td>8.51 ± 1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>6.37 ± 1.40</td>
<td>6.51 ± 1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11.00 ± 3.53</td>
<td>10.73 ± 3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent area, mm²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.52 ± 1.54</td>
<td>8.02 ± 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>6.37 ± 1.40</td>
<td>6.24 ± 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11.00 ± 3.53</td>
<td>9.53 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH area, mm²†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protruding area, mm²</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis (%)‡</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malapposition area, mm²</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. *The number of reference edges: n = 30 for baseline and n = 17 for follow-up. †NIH area was computed as: (stent area – lumen area + malapposition area). ‡Stenosis was computed as; NIH area × 100/stent area. NIH = neointimal hyperplasia; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; other abbreviations as in Table 1.
Both clinicians and investigators should be aware of fundamental differences between TD- and FD-OCT technologies that may impact image acquisition and interpretation. Although FD-OCT improved image quality compared with prior-generation TD-OCT (A-lines/frame: 500 to 1,000 vs. 200, respectively), it appears to be the method and speed of image acquisition that best distinguish these technologies. Briefly, TD-OCT acquires intravascular images at 1- to 3-mm/s pull back speed during vessel occlusion and concomitant intracoronary infusion of saline at 0.5 to 1 ml/s. Nonocclusive FD-OCT imaging is acquired during a 3- to 5-mm/s intracoronary infusion of nondiluted iodine contrast during high-speed pull back (20 to 25 mm/s) (14). The impact of vessel occlusion becomes evident in native coronary arteries and reference segments of stented vessels, as shown in this study, because these segments are susceptible to changes in intracoronary flow and pressure leading to smaller dimensions detected by TD-OCT. By contrast, the fact that reference lumen dimensions were equivalent between IVUS and FD-OCT is reassuring for clinicians using this new technology to determine device size during PCI in routine practice.

Coronary disease severity in native arteries was more significant by FD-OCT compared with IVUS (Table 2). A recent first-in-man safety and feasibility evaluation of optical frequency-domain imaging (Terumo Intravascular OFDI system, Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) observed similar findings (18). Whether such discrepancies represent underestimation of disease severity by IVUS or overestimation by OCT is difficult to prove. Such differences between light and ultrasound image formation and quantification were not observed in our in vitro study (12), and one can only speculate on possible explanations for the observed differences in vivo: 1) sharper delineation of the lumen–wall interface coupled with smooth longitudinal lumen visualization by FD-OCT may allow more precise identification of the site of MLA when compared to IVUS; 2) although faster pull back provides smoother longitudinal views, it may preclude selection of frames at maximum diastole in FD-OCT images; and 3) the smaller profile of the FD-OCT catheter when compared with IVUS may cause less stretch (Dotter effect) of the vessel in high-grade stenoses. Independent of the mechanisms, clinicians should be aware of the differences in MLA measurements observed in the present study and refrain from using IVUS-based thresholds to define coronary disease severity by OCT. Future studies are required to define OCT-based appropriateness criteria to indicate PCI (19).

Post-PCI stent area has long been associated with restenosis and thrombosis (4,20,21). Although follow-up stent

---

**Figure 4. Evaluation of NIH by IVUS and OCT.**

In (A, center panel), a longitudinal IVUS view of a stented segment reveals a region with intrastent neointimal hyperplasia (NIH) (yellow asterisks), which can be better depicted in the cross-sectional view represented in I. In II, the presence of NIH is not shown by IVUS (the struts would be considered uncovered by this method). The same region, coregistered using side branches as landmarks, is represented in the OCT longitudinal view (B, center panel). Exacerbated NIH (white asterisks) in the mid-distal part of the stent is shown in III, and less exacerbated NIH in the proximal part of the stent (white solid arrows indicate struts covered by a thin NIH, and white dashed arrows highlight a slightly thicker NIH covering the stent struts) is well depicted in the cross-sectional view shown in IV. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.
area measurements were similar among methods, the post-
procedure stent area was larger by IVUS compared with
FD-OCT. These somewhat unexpected results led to a
detailed review of images and measurements by 1 additional
senior analyst in our group, who validated the assessments.
A higher proportion of calcification was observed in the
population with post-PCI imaging compared with
follow-up cases (85% post-PCI frames had some degree of
calcification vs. 22% at follow-up). We hypothesized that
this may have affected detection of the stent–luminal inter-
face on post-procedure IVUS images because of blooming
artifacts from both stent and calcium reflections (Fig. 5).
Although stent struts also generate blooming artifact on
OCT images (14), calcium does not (22). Therefore, the
stent–lumen interface could be delineated by FD-OCT
even in calcified plaques (Fig. 5). This phenomenon may
also help to explain the observation of higher volumes of
malapposition detected by FD-OCT and similar intrastent
lumen areas despite smaller stent areas and higher tissue
protrusion. Future studies are required to investigate
whether more accurate detection of post-procedure stent
area in calcified vessels by FD-OCT is clinically relevant.

Stent malapposition has been associated with late and
very late stent thrombosis (23). Prior studies have shown
better accuracy of TD-OCT to detect stent malapposition
compared with IVUS (24,25). Similarly, prior studies have
shown better accuracy of TD-OCT to detect NIH (26).
Our findings expand upon these observations by demon-
strating superior detection of malapposition and NIH by
FD-OCT, which was more pronounced at lower degrees of
tissue proliferation (Online Fig. 1)(26). We attributed the
high sensitivity of OCT to its superior spatial resolution and
imaging acquisition in a virtually blood-free environment,
resulting in high contrast between the lumen and vessel wall
interface.

Taken together, the present data suggest superior accu-
randy and sensitivity of FD-OCT assessments of native
coronary disease and PCI compared with IVUS; however,
studies evaluating patients’ outcomes are needed to compre-
prehensively understand the clinical value of FD-OCT. Phy-
sicians utilizing these intravascular imaging technologies in
routine clinical practice should be cognizant of the signifi-
cant differences in measurements of native coronary artery
disease and stented vessels between the methods.

Figure 5. Stent Area Overestimation by IVUS in a Highly Calcified Plaque

(A) IVUS cross section of an eccentric calcified plaque treated with a stent. Stent area is measured in (B) (purple contour, 6.53 mm²). Note that due to the pres-
ence of calcium from 12 to 4 o’clock, it is difficult to accurately trace the stent contour. (C) The coregistered image visualized by FD-OCT reveals the presence of
calcium without artifacts. Stent area is measured accurately (green contour, 5.96 mm²). White arrows in the calcified region indicate the difficulty in obtaining
uniform stent expansion. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.
Study limitations. The study did not include comparisons between TD-OCT versus IVUS in native coronary arteries, which has been performed previously (10). In addition, no comparisons between TD- and FD-OCT were performed, as they were used in different populations.

Intrinsic differences between methods (pull back speed, lateral resolution, frame rate, and so on) preclude frame-level coregistration. In the present study, image analysis was performed in all frames (i.e., every 0.2 mm) in nonstented coronaries or every 1 mm in stented vessels, and most comparisons involve mean area measurements along the entire target segment (27), minimizing the impact of single cross-sectional metrics. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that cross-sectional image selection may explain some of the differences observed in MLA measurements. It is, nevertheless, important to note that accurate selection of the site of MLA is a critical step in the process of disease assessment in clinical practice.
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